



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,851	01/14/2004	Darin G. Schaeffer	8627-368 (DN-1484)	3412
7590	10/11/2007			
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610				EXAMINER NEAL, TIMOTHY J
ART UNIT 3731		PAPER NUMBER		
MAIL DATE 10/11/2007		DELIVERY MODE PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/756,851	SCHAEFFER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy J. Neal	3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Timothy J. Neal. (3) _____.

(2) Richard Stanley. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8-10, 21, and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: McIvor et al. (US 6,213,988) and Applicant's disclosed prior art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and the Applicant's Representative discussed the nature of the combination of references used by the Examiner to reject the discussed claims. The Examiner maintained that the combination was proper and supported by motivation either specifically stated or considered known to one having ordinary skill in the art. The Applicant's Representative disagreed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10/6/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required